

**Claim Chart:** After reading the text, complete the chart below. In column one, identify each claim or point made in the order that it is made. In column two, describe how each claim or point is developed and refined by particular sentences, paragraphs, or details. In column three, idea supports the author’s purpose.

**Immortal Cells, Enduring Issues**

Keiger’s Claim	Textual Evidence	Warrant/Reasoning
What does the author believe? What are the main points in the article?	What sentences, paragraphs, details in the text support this claim?	What reasoning does the author use to connect the evidence and the claim?
<i>Henrietta Lacks’ cells made scientific discoveries possible, but that scientists made those discoveries at the expense of her privacy.</i>	<i>“The HeLa cell line has been the foundation of a remarkable number of medical advances.”</i>  <i>“The book will not reassure those already suspicious that they are being used.”</i>	<i>Keiger wants readers to consider that science might not value people’s privacy over scientific discoveries.</i>
<i>Informed consent is complicated because patients may not understand the language of medicine, researchers may not know all of the information about their studies when samples are collected, and finally, sometimes the samples are anonymous.</i>	<i>Scientists collect specimens for “future use” in research.</i>  <i>Scientists have difficulty “translating complex issues for a lay audience.”</i>  <i>“Consent regulations cover only studies done by researchers who have firsthand contact with tissue</i>	<i>The author wants readers to understand that getting informed consent is more complicated than just asking permission.</i>

	<i>donors.”</i>	
<i>Although there are good reasons to pay people for tissue donation, there are a lot of valid reasons not to compensate them, including the fact that it may make finding treatments for diseases harder.</i>	<i>Researchers and ethicists understand that it might not be fair to take samples without payment, “but they point out several complications.”</i>	<i>Keiger wants people to consider the scientists struggles with paying donors for tissue samples.</i>

Which of the author’s claims is the strongest? What about the claim, evidence, or warrant (reasoning) makes that argument strong?

*Dale Keiger’s arguments about paying donors for their tissues is the strongest argument because he builds this claim with evidence and warrants from both sides, those who agree with compensation and those that don’t. His concession makes it the stronger argument. It shows that he has considered both sides of the issue.*